

Regular Article

## Genetic analysis of harvest index in safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) via diallel crosses

Pooran Golkar<sup>1</sup> and Mohammad-Reza Shahsavari<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agronomy and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran; P.O.Box76169-133, Iran.

<sup>2</sup>Oilseeds Research Branch, Agricultural Research Center, Isfahan, Iran

Corresponding Author E-mails: [golkar@mail.uk.ac.ir](mailto:golkar@mail.uk.ac.ir); [pooran60@yahoo.com](mailto:pooran60@yahoo.com)

The F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> progenies of the eight-parent diallel crosses were used to investigate the mode of inheritance of harvest index (HI) (%) in safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) seeds. The results indicated significant differences among the parents for general (GCA) in two evaluated generations. Specific combining ability (SCA) was significant in F<sub>1</sub> generation. Furthermore, the estimates of genetic variance components and predictability factor (PF) proposed the more importance of additive genetic effects that contribute to genetic variation in harvest index. The overall results indicated that C<sub>4110</sub> was the best parental genotype for increasing HI (%). Also, superior crosses of K<sub>21</sub> × 22-191 (F<sub>1</sub> generation) and 22-191 × C<sub>4110</sub> (F<sub>2</sub> generation) could be employed for the production of high seed yielding safflower lines in breeding programs.

**Key words:** diallel, effect, harvest index, safflower

Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorious* L.) is an oilseed crop that improvement of yield is being emphasized for this crop. Safflower plant is tolerant to severe drought and salinity (Gecgel et al., 2007; Singh, 2007). It is an important alternative oil sources (Cosge et al. 2007; Weiss, 2000). Thus, breeding efforts in safflower should emphasize on the improvement of seed yield and oil content (Cosge et al. 2007). One of the most important physiologic indexes in improvement of seed yield is harvest index. The term 'harvest index' (HI) was first introduced by Donald (1962) who defined it as the ratio of economic yield to total biomass yield. Studies were conducted to examine the use of HI as an indirect selection criterion for improving seed yield of crop (Sharma et al. 1991). Estimation the genetic control of HI has been studied in some species of oilseed crops such as flax

(Mohammadi et al. 2010), rapeseed (Sabaghnia et al. 2010) and sunflower (Tavade et al. 2009) but literature review shoed that there is no any report about the genetic control and combining ability of harvest index in safflower.

In view point of HI importance for safflower improvement, identification and selection of suitable parents could be including in crossing plants could be effective breeding method for improving HI. Evaluation the genetic variation among parent could distinguished the superior parents. Improving seed yield in safflower needs suitable information regarding the nature of general combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) of the parents available in a broad array of genetic material to be used in the hybridization programs. Diallel analysis provides a unique opportunity to test a number of

lines in all possible combinations (Griffing, 1956; Mather and Jinks, 1982).

The first objective of this study was to determine the range of HI in eight diverse safflower genotypes and estimate their GCA for this trait. The second objective was to identify superior hybrid combination of HI based on mean HI and SCA estimates.

### Material and Methods

Eight genotypes representing a diverse range for plant yield, plant type and genetic background were selected for this study). Six native lines (C<sub>111</sub>, C<sub>4110</sub>, ISF<sub>14</sub>, A<sub>2</sub>, K<sub>21</sub> and IL.111) which were selected from various Iranian local populations and two exotic genotypes [one from Mexico (22-191) and one from Germany (GE<sub>62918</sub>)] were used in this study. These parental genotypes were crossed manually in a full diallel mating design to produce 56 F<sub>1</sub> hybrid populations. All of the F<sub>1</sub> hybrids were selfed by bagging to produce 28 F<sub>2</sub> progenies with out reciprocals. An experiment including 64 genotypes of F<sub>1</sub> (56 F<sub>1</sub> hybrids and 8 parental genotypes) with 36 genotypes of F<sub>2</sub> were laid out in a complete randomized block design with 3 replications at Agricultural Research Farm of Yazd of Iran (54° 21' longitude and 31°52' latitude and, 1200 asl). Each plot of parents and F<sub>1</sub> had two row of 2m length while each plot of F<sub>2</sub> consisted of 3 rows of 3m in length. At maturity, each individual plant was harvested separately with total of the head. In F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> generations, 15 and 30 single plant was selected randomly, respectively. After that, total of the heads per plant was weighted to estimate biological yield for each plant. Individual samples were threshed and total grain of each plant was weighted to estimate grain yield (economical yield). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted for two generation. Combining ability analyses was carried out using Griffing s Method 1 and 2 (1956), fixed model. The diallel analysis was carried out with Ukai program (1989).

### Results and discussion

Analysis of variance revealed significant differences among genotypes (Table 1). This analysis showed that GCA mean squares were significant in two generation while the SCA mean squares were significant only in F<sub>1</sub> populations, indicating that GCA effects were more important than SCA effects in explaining variability for HI in these crosses. This implies that additive gene action was more important than non-additive gene action for HI in safflower. Other studies in oilseed crops demonstrated on predominance of additive gene effects for genetic control of harvest index in flax (Mohammadi et al. 2010) and rapeseed (Sabaghnia et al. 2010). Tavade et al. (2009) reported the importance of both additive and non-additive gene effects in genetic control of HI in sunflower. Reciprocal effects were significant in F<sub>1</sub> generation (Table 1). This result showed the importance of cytoplasmic effects in genetic control of HI. Mohammadi et al. (2010) reported the significant of reciprocal effects in genetic control of HI (%) in flax.

**Table 1 Results of analysis of variance for combining ability and variance components in a diallel cross of safflower genotypes**

Population		F <sub>1</sub>	F <sub>2</sub>
Source	df	Mean squares	
Replication	2	107.77**	69.25**
Genotype	63	30.09**	7.80**
GCA	7	34.55**	28.66**
SCA	28	17.8**	2.58 <sup>ns</sup>
REC	28	33.77**	-
Error	126	3.25	1.96
Components of variance			
P.F.¥	79	95	
GCA/SCA	1.94*	11.10**	

\* and \*\* significant at P<0.01 and P< 0.05, respectively  
¥: Predictability factor

The increased ration of GCA/SCA mean squares in F<sub>2</sub> generation and PF factor, implied the predominance role of

additive gene effects in F<sub>2</sub> generation (Table 1). The relative importance of variances due to GCA and SCA were compared by the predictability factor  $[2\sigma_{GCA}^2 / (2\sigma_{GCA}^2 + \sigma_{SCA}^2)]$  (Baker, 1978). Also, predictability factor (PF) was increased in F<sub>2</sub> generation rather than F<sub>1</sub> generation (Table 1). This result showed that GCA effects had more magnitude rather than SCA effects in F<sub>2</sub> generation. The GCA /SCA mean squares were significant in two generation but it had more magnitude in F<sub>2</sub> generation than F<sub>1</sub> generation that showed the more importance of additive gene effects in F<sub>2</sub> generation. Parental means and their GCA effects are presented in Table 2. The highest mean and GCA effect for HI among parents was denoted to 22-191 and C<sub>4110</sub> in F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> generations, respectively (Table 2). The lowest mean and highest negative GCA effect was denoted to K<sub>21</sub> in two generation (Table 2). In F<sub>1</sub> generation, 22-191 had the only positive significant GCA effect, but in F<sub>2</sub> generation C<sub>111</sub>, C<sub>4110</sub>, IL.111 and 22-191 had positive significant GCA effect (Table 2). There were slight changes in relative

rankings of the parents in two generation. The correlation between mean of HI (%) and GCA estimates over generations indicating that GCA of the parents for HI could be predicted to a certain extent on the basis of their mean HI (%) value.

Positive and significant association between GCA effects and means of the parents in both evaluated generations (Table 2). According to Banerjee and Kole (2009) this result suggested that performance of its parent could be a good indicator of its potential to transmit suitable traits to its progenies.

Cross mean and estimates of specific combining ability (SCA) for HI in F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> generations in presented in Table 3. The highest mean for HI in F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> generation was denoted to IL.111 × 22-191 (55.36) and C<sub>4110</sub> × IL.111 (55.96), respectively.

In F<sub>1</sub> generation the crosses with mostly high HI (%) were ISF<sub>14</sub> × IL.111 (53.98), K<sub>21</sub> × 22-191 (55.28) and C<sub>111</sub> × 22-191 were in the superior crosses. Also, C<sub>111</sub> × C<sub>4110</sub> (56.26), GE<sub>62918</sub> × C<sub>4110</sub> (55.66) and IL.111 × 22-191 (55.36) were the most high crosses for HI in F<sub>2</sub> generation (Table 3).

**Table2. Means of the parental genotypes s and their GCA effects in F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> generation in a diallel cross of safflower genotypes**

	F <sub>1</sub>		F <sub>2</sub>	
	Mean	GCA	Mean	GCA
GE <sub>62918</sub>	52.76	0.51	52.76	0.30
C <sub>111</sub>	55.1	0.41	55.46	1.01**
C <sub>4110</sub>	57.16	0.60	56.76	1.95**
ISF <sub>14</sub>	53.2	0.11	53.30	-0.45
A <sub>2</sub>	49.66	0.02-	49.50	-1.40**
K <sub>21</sub>	46.83	-1.93**	46.83	-3.14**
IL.111	55.01	0.16	55.06	0.74
22-191	55.36	0.81**	55.57	1.26**
r(GCA, mean)Y	0.85**		0.98**	

Y:Calculated correlation (r) between the mean value for trait and the value for GCA of eight genotypes

There was a moderate consistency between crosses mean in two generation. Among 28 crosses in F<sub>1</sub> generation, 7 crosses showed positive significant SCA

effect (Table 3). The highest SCA effect was denoted to GE<sub>62918</sub> × K<sub>21</sub> (3.6) (Table 3). In F<sub>2</sub> generation, there was not a positive significant SCA effect (Table 3). This result

is in agreement with non-significant of SCA effects in this generation. The highest and positive SCA effect was observed in GE<sub>62918</sub>×A<sub>2</sub> (1.09) (Table 3). This result might be due to the diminishing of dominant gene effects in F<sub>2</sub> generation because of selfing F<sub>1</sub> progenies. On the other hand, the high SCA effects in F<sub>1</sub> generation could have arises from high

dominant effects in F<sub>1</sub> hybrids and heterosis effects. There was consistency between SCA effects of crosses in two generation. It appears that environmental conditions might have influenced the SCA component in a more unpredictable manner compared to the environmental influence on the GCA component.

**Table 3. Cross mean and estimates of specific combining ability (SCA) and reciprocal effects for harvest index in F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> generations in safflower genotypes**

	F <sub>1</sub>			F <sub>2</sub>	
	Mean	SCA	Reciprocal	Mean	SCA
GE <sub>62918</sub> ×C <sub>111</sub>	51.26	-1.33*	1.83*	54.63	0.72
GE <sub>62918</sub> ×C <sub>4110</sub>	53.05	0.92	5.45**	55.66	0.79
GE <sub>62918</sub> ×ISF <sub>14</sub>	52.76	0.46	0.30	52.30	-0.14
GE <sub>62918</sub> ×A <sub>2</sub>	53.80	1.63*	0.93	52.6	1.09
GE <sub>62918</sub> ×K <sub>21</sub>	53.85	3.6**	-2.71**	50.46	0.70
GE <sub>62918</sub> ×IL111	49.98	-2.36**	-2.51**	51.56	-2.08*
GE <sub>62918</sub> ×22-191	50	-2.99**	-2.46**	53.43	-0.73
C <sub>111</sub> ×C <sub>4110</sub>	50.46	-1.55*	-2.43**	56.26	0.43
C <sub>111</sub> × ISF <sub>14</sub>	50.47	-1.73**	-5.00**	51.96	-1.44
C <sub>111</sub> ×A <sub>2</sub>	50.55	-1.51*	0.08	51.23	-1.24
C <sub>111</sub> ×K <sub>21</sub>	49.58	-0.56	-0.98	50.10	-0.63
C <sub>111</sub> ×IL. 111	54.84	2.60**	0.0	55.26	0.63
C <sub>111</sub> × 22-191	54.40	1.5*	-0.16	55.48	0.34
C <sub>04110</sub> × ISF <sub>14</sub>	50.05	-1.67**	1.51*	54.23	-0.14
C <sub>4110</sub> ×A <sub>2</sub>	53.83	2.24**	0.03	54.03	0.59
C <sub>4110</sub> ×K <sub>21</sub>	48.96	-0.70	0.86	49.56	-2.12**
C <sub>4110</sub> ×IL.111	50.45	-2.88**	3.41**	55.96	0.38
C <sub>4110</sub> ×22-191	52.26	-1.97**	2.31**	56.20	0.10
ISF <sub>14</sub> × A <sub>2</sub>	51.06	0.50	-0.43	51.60	0.58
ISF <sub>14</sub> ×K <sub>21</sub>	50.45	1.22	4.6**	49.56	0.29
ISF <sub>14</sub> ×IL.111	53.98	-1.49*	0.35	51.83	-1.33
ISF <sub>14</sub> ×22-191	50.01	1.38*	4.05**	53.17	-0.50
A <sub>2</sub> ×K <sub>21</sub>	51.31	0.30	2.35**	48.76	0.43
A <sub>2</sub> ×IL.111	51.71	-0.49	-1.21	52.36	0.14
A <sub>2</sub> ×22-191	48.88	-0.74	-0.68	52.26	-0.47
K <sub>21</sub> ×IL.111	48.66	-1.01	-0.01	50.86	0.38
K <sub>21</sub> ×22-191	55.28	-1.88**	2.10**	51.43	0.43
IL.111×22-191	55.36	2.63**	1.41	55.36	0.48
LSD(1%)	3.27	2.43	11.36	1.42	0.26

A novel finding of this study involves the identifying genetic inheritance of harvest index in safflower. In both F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub> generation, it was found that the variance due to GCA was higher than those of SCA. Therefore, the additive-dominance genetic model was adequate for HI (%) in safflower. Also, the significance ratio of GCA/SCA mean squares, and the predictability factor for HI (%) (close to unity) indicate the predominance of additive gene effects in the genetic control of HI (%) in this study. Therefore, breeding procedures based on selection among lines derived from the hybridization program/recurrent selection would obtain high efficiency for improvement of harvest index in safflower.

#### Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Isfahan university of Technology for providing safflower seeds.

#### References

- Baker, R.J. 1978. Issues in diallel analysis. *Crop Sci.*, **18**:533-536.
- Banerjee P.P., and Kole P.C. 2009. Analysis of genetic architecture for some physiological characters in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). *Euphytica*, **168**: 11-22.
- Cosge, B., B. Gurbuz, and M. Kiralan. 2007. Oil content and fatty acid composition of some safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) varieties sown in spring and winter. *Int. J. Nat. Eng. Sci.*, **1**:11-15.
- Donald, C.M. 1962. In search of yield. *J. Aust. Inst. Agric. Sci.*, **28**:171-178.
- Gecgel U., Demirci M., and Esendal E. 2007. Seed yield, oil content and fatty acids composition of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) varieties sown in spring and winter. *Int. J Nat. Eng. Sci.* **1**: 11-15
- Griffing B. 1956. Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. *Aust. J. Biol. Sci.*, **9**: 463-493
- Mather K., and Jinks J.L. 1982. *Biometrical genetics*. Chapman and Hall:London.
- Mohammadi A.A., Saeidi G., and Arzani A. 2010. Genetic analysis of some agronomic traits in flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *Aust. J. Crop Sci.*, **4**: 343-352.
- Sabaghnia N., Dehghani H., Alizadeh B., and Mohghaddam M. 2010. Diallel analysis of oil content and some agronomic traits in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) based on the additive-dominance genetic model. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.*, **4**: 609-616
- Sharma, R.C., Smith E.L., and McNew R.W. 1991. Combining ability analysis for harvest index in winter wheat. *Euphytica*, **55**:229-234.
- Singh R.J. 2007. *Genetic Resources, Chromosome Engineering and Crop Improvement*. CRC Press Inc. Boca Raton, Florida, USA.
- Tavade, S.N, Lande S.S., and. Patil S.P. 2009. Combining ability studies in some restorer lines of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, **22**: 32-35.
- Ukai Y. 1989. A microcomputer program DIALL for diallel analysis of quantitative characters. *Jpn. J Breed.* **39**: 107-109
- Weiss E.A. 2000. *Oil seed Crops*. 2nd ed. Blackwell Science, Oxford